

1 July 1964

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Training

THROUGH : Chief, Operations School

SUBJECT : Course Report - Counterintelligence  
Operations Course No. 53, 9 - 29 June 1964

Synopsis

1. Fourteen persons attended this course. Again the training requests in many, if not most, cases did not reflect a thoroughly adequate background for the course. In fact, however, the students were for the most part reasonably well matched in background, inquisitive and cooperative. The only outstanding exception to the pattern was [REDACTED] who quietly outshone the other students and contributed most significantly to class discussions and thought. The class roster was as follows:

25X1A	<u>Name</u>	<u>Division</u>	<u>Grade</u>
	[REDACTED]		Major
	[REDACTED]		GS-06
	[REDACTED]		GS-09
	[REDACTED]		GS-09
	[REDACTED]		GS-06
	[REDACTED]		GS-11
	[REDACTED]		GS-11
	[REDACTED]		GS-11
	[REDACTED]		GS-11
	[REDACTED]		GS-09
	[REDACTED]		GS-11
	[REDACTED]		GS-13
	[REDACTED]		GS-14
	[REDACTED]		GS-10

DOC	9	REV DATE	3-2-82	BY	006199
ORIG COMP		OPI	11	TYPE	01
ORIG CLASS	5	PAGES	11	REV CLASS	5
JUST	22	NEXT REV	2012	AUTH:	HR 10-2

### Discussion

2. As has been fairly typical of the last few runnings of the course, the attendance of WH officers was proportionately high. This, together with the participation of two of their senior officers as guest speakers, continues to show the marked increase of interest on the part of WH in this phase of training. SR, as has been their practice in the last few runnings of the course, asked permission for a selected few of their officers to monitor the SR segment of instruction. It is strongly urged that, despite our usual policy on course monitoring, SR be permitted to continue this practice. The reasons for this recommendation are several. SR's contribution to the course both in time and quality is such that they deserve whatever consideration can be given them. Beyond that, they do not fundamentally think of it as monitoring an OTR course. What they in fact are doing is saving themselves time by giving certain of their personnel briefings on which otherwise they would have to expend extra time and effort. Still another factor is that most of the persons they ask monitoring privileges for are going into significant assignments, and it is useful to meet them and gain their good will.

3. The CI Staff continued its exceptional cooperativeness and, indeed, furnished a speaker to cover the Satellite services in one extended generic discussion. This replaced most satisfactorily the former discussion of three Satellite services arbitrarily selected on the basis of the competence of available speakers.

4. Although the course ran into the beginning of the summer leave, transfer and TDY period, there were no significant scheduling problems. The students were attentive and punctual, but there was a rather higher degree of absenteeism than usual. The reasons for this are rather ill defined, but it is the opinion of the chief instructor that the location of the Broyhill Building was at least in some measure to blame.

### Student Comment

5. The student critiques were comparatively uninspired, but it was clear that they enjoyed and profited from the course. After some experimentation, in this course we substituted for the traditional reading kits, a reading list keyed to safe material, and the students found this quite satisfactory. The FBI presentation was commented on even more favorably than in the past. Again, as in the last course, most students indicated a preference for a full time course of either two or three weeks duration.

Student Performance

6. To reiterate, despite a certain amount of absenteeism, the students appeared uniformly thoughtful and for the most part participated freely in discussions.

SIGNED

  
Chief Instructor

25X1A

Attachment: Schedule